Page 1 of 8

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	No. 05-CV-329-GKF-SAJ
)	
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S REPLY BRIEF IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO RECONSIDER AMENDED SCHEDULING ORDER [DKT #1386]

Plaintiff, the State of Oklahoma, ex rel. W.A. Drew Edmondson, in his capacity as Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma and Oklahoma Secretary of the Environment C. Miles Tolbert, in his capacity as the Trustee for Natural Resources for the State of Oklahoma ("the State"), respectfully replies to "Defendants' Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs' [sic] Motion to Reconsider Amended Scheduling Order" [DKT #1422] as follows:

A. Reconsideration is appropriate

The State has sought reconsideration on two points relating to the Amended Scheduling Order [DKT #1376] (the "Order"). First, the State seeks reconsideration of the Order to the extent that it continues to divide experts' reports between those related to "damages" and those related to everything other than "damages." The State seeks to clarify this division so that it defines the division as being between those opinions addressing everything other than "relief" and those reports addressing "relief" regardless of whether the relief is injunctive or monetary. Second, the State seeks reconsideration of the Order inasmuch as the Order currently has "Defendants' Expert Reports on Damages" and the "Discovery Cut-Off" both scheduled for the

same date -- thereby providing the State <u>no time</u> for discovery following Defendants' disclosure of their experts on "damages."

Both of these issues were previously presented to the Court. Neither of these two issues was addressed in the Order nor was there any explanation in the Order relative to these two issues. These issues are therefore appropriate for reconsideration.

B. A division between relief-related and non-relief-related experts is more logical than a division between damages-related and non-damages-related experts

The State's request to divide the expert disclosures between relief-related and non-relief-related matters is eminently logical in the context of an environmental case where, as here, the interplay between damages relief and injunctive relief is significant. Thus, as presented in its original filing, clarification of the language in the original Scheduling Order would be provided by using the terms "relief-related" and "non-relief-related" rather than "damages" and "non-damages." Although clearly raised by the State, the Court amended the Scheduling Order without any discussion and apparently overlooked this issue, thereby making this issue, contrary to Defendants' suggestion, appropriate for reconsideration.

C. The State is clearly entitled to discovery of Defendants' experts on remedy

Concerning Defendants' opposition to the reconsideration of the time between the filing of Defendants' final round of expert reports and the close of discovery, Defendants' objections follow the most predictable rule in Defendants' advocacy, *i.e.*, if the plaintiff requests it, the defendant opposes it, and does so regardless of positions previously taken.

The original Scheduling Order [DKT #1075] provided that Defendants' expert reports on damages were to be filed June 1, 2008 and discovery was to remain open for a month until July 1, 2008. Defendants, in seeking to revise this schedule, asked the Court to generally follow this

same scheme, but sought to have the Court allow <u>four</u> months after the filing of their final expert reports before the discovery cutoff. *See* DKT #1297, p. 2. The State, in its response to the Defendants' Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order, followed the original pattern, suggesting that the revised scheduling order continue to allow <u>one</u> month for the completion of discovery after the filing of Defendants' expert reports on remedy. *See* DKT #1322, p. 13. The Court, without discussion, entered the Amended Scheduling Order providing that Defendants' Expert Reports on Damages were to be filed contemporaneously with the Discovery Cut-Off, thereby denying the State the opportunity to conduct discovery of these experts. Not only is this scenario obviously an oversight, Defendants' opposition to allowing the State the fundamental fairness of conducting discovery of certain of its experts is inexplicable. After all, it was Defendants who suggested that four months of discovery were appropriate after they filed their last round of expert reports; they now oppose the State's request that the Court reconsider its schedule and allow one month for such discovery.

WHEREFORE, the State's Motion to Reconsider the Amended Scheduling Order [DKT #1386] should be granted.

Respectfully Submitted,

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 J. Trevor Hammons OBA #20234 Tina Lynn Izadi OBA #17978 Daniel P. Lennington OBA #21577 ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21st St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

The State has also suggested that the dispositive motion deadline be pushed back to May 15, 2009, to take into account this movement in the deadline for discovery into Defendants' expert witnesses.

/s/ M. David Riggs

M. David Riggs OBA #7583
Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371
Richard T. Garren OBA #3253
Douglas A. Wilson OBA #13128
Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010
Robert A. Nance OBA #6581
D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN,
ORBISON & LEWIS
502 West Sixth Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-3161

Louis Werner Bullock OBA #1305 BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE 110 West Seventh Street Suite 110 Tulsa OK 74119 (918) 584-2001

James Randall Miller OBA #6214 222 S. Kenosha Tulsa, Ok 74120-2421 (918) 743-4460

David P. Page OBA #6852 BELL LEGAL GROUP P. O. Box 1769 Tulsa, Ok 74101-1769 (918) 398-6800

Frederick C. Baker (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Lee M. Heath (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth C. Ward (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
MOTLEY RICE, LLC
28 Bridgeside Boulevard
Mount Pleasant, SC 29465 (843) 216-9280

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Ingrid L. Moll (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 20 Church Street, 17th Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1676

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted *pro hac vice*) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted *pro hac vice*) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 4th day of January, 2008, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General Tina Lynn Izadi, Assistant Attorney General Daniel P. Lennington, Assistant Attorney General

fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us trevor_hammons@oag.state.ok.us tina_izadi@oag.state.ok.us daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov

M. David Riggs
Joseph P. Lennart
Richard T. Garren
Douglas A. Wilson
Sharon K. Weaver
Robert A. Nance
D. Sharon Gentry

driggs@riggsabney.com
jlennart@riggsabney.com
rgarren@riggsabney.com
doug_wilson@riggsabney.com
sweaver@riggsabney.com
rnance@riggsabney.com
sgentry@riggsabney.com

RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS

Louis Werner Bullock BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE

lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com

James Randall Miller

rmiller@mkblaw.net

David P. Page

BELL LEGAL GROUP

dpage@edbelllaw.com

Frederick C. Baker

Lee M. Heath

Elizabeth C. Ward Elizabeth Claire Xidis

William H. Narwold

Ingrid L. Moll Jonathan D. Orent

Michael G. Rousseau

Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick

MOTLEY RICE, LLC

fbaker@motleyrice.com lheath@motleyrice.com

lward@motleyrice.com

cxidis@motleyrice.com bnarwold@motleyrice.com

imoll@motleyrice.com

jorent@motleyrice.com

mrousseau@motleyrice.com

ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com

rredemann@pmrlaw.net

lzeringue@pmrlaw.net

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Robert P. Redemann

Lawrence W. Zeringue

David C. Senger

dsenger@pmrlaw.net PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BARRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.

Robert E Sanders

Edwin Stephen Williams

YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.

rsanders@youngwilliams.com

steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

Counsel for Cal-Maine Farms, Inc and Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.

John H. Tucker

Theresa Noble Hill

Colin Hampton Tucker

Leslie Jane Southerland

jtucker@rhodesokla.com thill@rhodesokla.com ctucker@rhodesokla.com

lsoutherland@rhodesokla.com

RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE

Terry Wayen West

THE WEST LAW FIRM

terry@thewestlawfirm.com

Delmar R. Ehrich

Bruce Jones

Dara D. Mann

Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee

Todd P. Walker

dehrich@faegre.com

bjones@faegre.com

dmann@faegre.com

kklee@faegre.com

twalker@faegre.com

FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP

Counsel for Cargill, Inc. & Cargill Turkey Production, LLC

James Martin Graves

Gary V Weeks

jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com Paul E. Thompson, Jr BASSETT LAW FIRM pthompson@bassettlawfirm.com

George W. Owens Randall E. Rose

gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com

OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.

Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms, Inc.

A. Scott McDaniel smcdaniel@mhla-law.com
Nicole Longwell nlongwell@mhla-law.com
Philip Hixon phixon@mhla-law.com
Craig A. Merkes cmerkes@mhla-law.com

MCDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD, PLLC

Sherry P. Bartley sbartley@mwsgw.com

MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GATES & WOODYARD, PLLC

Counsel for Peterson Farms, Inc.

John Elrod jelrod@cwlaw.com
Vicki Bronson vbronson@cwlaw.com
P. Joshua Wisley jwisley@cwlaw.com
Bruce W. Freeman bfreeman@cwlaw.com
D. Richard Funk rfunk@cwlaw.com

CONNER & WINTERS, LLP
Counsel for Simmons Foods, Inc.

Stephen L. Jantzen sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com
Paula M. Buchwald pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com
Patrick M. Ryan pryan@ryanwhaley.com
RYAN, WHALEY, COLDIRON & SHANDY, P.C.

Mark D. Hopson
Jay Thomas Jorgensen
Timothy K. Webster
Thomas C. Green
SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD LLP

mhopson@sidley.com
jjorgensen@sidley.com
twebster@sidley.com
tcgreen@sidley.com

Robert W. George robert.george@kutakrock.com
Michael R. Bond michael.bond@kutakrock.com
Erin W. Thompson erin.thompson@kutakrock.com

KUTAK ROCK, LLP

Counsel for Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Poultry, Inc., Tyson Chicken, Inc., & Cobb-Vantress, Inc.

R. Thomas Lay

KERR, IRVINE, RHODES & ABLES

rtl@kiralaw.com

Jennifer Stockton Griffin

David Gregory Brown

jgriffin@lathropgage.com

LATHROP & GAGE LC

Counsel for Willow Brook Foods, Inc.

Robin S Conrad

rconrad@uschamber.com

NATIONAL CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER

Gary S Chilton

gchilton@hcdattorneys.com

HOLLADAY, CHILTON AND DEGIUSTI, PLLC

Counsel for US Chamber of Commerce and American Tort Reform Association

Also on this 4th day of January, 2008, I mailed a copy of the above and foregoing pleading to:

David Gregory Brown

Lathrop & Gage LC 314 E HIGH ST JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101

Thomas C Green

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K ST NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005

Cary Silverman

Victor E Schwartz

Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC) 600 14TH ST NW STE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-2004

C Miles Tolbert

Secretary of the Environment State of Oklahoma 3800 NORTH CLASSEN OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73118

Gary V. Weeks

Bassett Law Firm P. O. Box 3618 Fayetteville, AR 72702

/s/ M. David Riggs

M. David Riggs